

**SECTION 2 - OTHER APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR GRANT**

**LIST NO:** 2/01                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/3519/08/RH

**LOCATION:** Scanmoor House, 56-60 Northolt Road, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** Eurotraveller Hotel Group

**PROPOSAL:** Change Of Use From Office Building (B1 Use) To A Hotel (C1 Use) With 40 Rooms, Restaurant, Kitchen And Conference Facilities. Roof Extension To Provide Fifth Floor And Two Storey Rear Extension

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans subject to a legal agreement and the conditions and informatives reported.

[The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous]

**LIST NO:** 2/02                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/4002/08/GL

**LOCATION:** 2 Junction Road, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** Mr Anup Vyas

**PROPOSAL:** Redevelopment To Provide Six-Storey Building To Provide 172 Sq.M. Of Office Space At Ground And First Floors; Eight Flats On Four Upper Floors With Glazed Balconies; Roof Terrace; Demolition Of Existing Two-Storey Building (Resident Permit Restricted)

**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans and amended on the addendum for the following reasons:

1. In the absence of an established principle for the adjoining land by way of an outline application, the proposal would represent a piecemeal development that could prejudice the future development of that site, and could potentially fail to make a positive contribution to the character and local context thereof, the comprehensive development of the area and the principles of good design, contrary to Harrow Unitary Development Plan policy D4 and PPS 3.
2. The proposed development, by reason of excessive bulk, prominent siting and unsatisfactory design, would be unduly obtrusive and overbearing with inadequate space about the building and would detract from the appearance and character of the locality, contrary to Harrow Unitary Development Plan policies D4 and D5.

[Note: The Committee wished it to be recorded that the voting was as follows:

Councillors Husain Akhtar, Marilyn Ashton, Don Billson, Julia Merison, Jerry Miles, Joyce Nickolay and Thaya Idaikkadar in support of refusal. Councillor Keith Ferry against refusal]

**SECTION 5 - PRIOR APPROVAL APPLICATIONS**

**LIST NO:** 5/01                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/4000/08/HG

**LOCATION:** Land Outside Of 10 Paines Lane, Pinner

**APPLICANT:** Orange PCS Ltd.

**PROPOSAL:** Prior Approval For Siting And Appearance: 10 Metre High Telecommunications Mast With One Associated Equipment Cabinet And One Metre Pillar

**DECISION:**

1. That prior approval of siting and appearance is required
2. REFUSED prior approval of details of siting and appearance for the development described in the application and submitted plans and addendum for the following reason:

The proposal, by reason of excessive size and prominent location, would be visually intrusive to the detriment of the visual amenity of neighbouring occupiers, the special architectural and historic character and setting of 31 Paines Lane, a Listed Building, and the semi-rural character of the locality, contrary to policies S1, D4, D11 and D24 of the Harrow Unitary Development Plan.

[Notes: (1) The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to refuse the application was unanimous].

(2) The Head of Planning had recommended that the above application be granted].

---